Sign In

Need more info about this lawsuit?

**Court Documents** Instantly access recently filed official court documents

**Docket Text** Review activity within the case

<u>Lawsuit Tracker™</u> Get automatic notifications of any new information about this case.

Related Cases Review similar cases found across

multiple criteria

Access to over 53000 cases. 174 added in the last 7 days

Home Lawsuits Search News Features Free Trial Support

™ Cart (0)

## Bethany Marie Mooradian et al v. Ms. Sheila Edwards et al











## **Lawsuit Details**

RFC Case Number: C-B09-10408M

**Court Case Number:** 2:09-cv-10408-BAF-VMM File Date: Monday, February 02, 2009

Plaintiff: Bethany Marie Mooradian Ms. Bethany Marie Mooradian

**Plaintiff Counsel:** Christopher J. Nesi of Nesi and Associates, P.C.

Bethany Marie Mooradian PRO SE

Defendant: Ms. Sheila Edwards

Ms. Anamarie Sabbagh

28:1338 Copyright Infringement Cause: Court: Michigan Eastern District Court

Judge: District Judge Bernard A Friedman

Referred To: Magistrate Judge Virginia M Morgan

Notes:

## **Docket Text**

This may not be the most complete up-to-date docket information.

For daily updates on this case, sign-up for a Lawsuit Tracker.

See the box on the right side of this page for details on the Lawsuit Tracker

## Date # Docket Text

2/2/2009 1 COMPLAINT filed by Bethany Marie Mooradian against Sheila Edwards, Anamarie Sabbagh with Jury Demand. Plaintiff requests summons issued. Receipt No: 0645000000001856442 - Fee: \$ 350. County of 1st Plaintiff: King County, WA - County Where Action Arose: Oakland - County of 1st Defendant: Oakland County, MI. [Previously dismissed case: No] [Possible companion case(s): None] (Nesi, Christopher) (Entered: 02/02/2009)



Home | About | Lawsuits | Register | Login | Terms of Use | Privacy Policy | Sitemap | RSS Feed | Contact

© Copyright 2008-2011 RFC Express — All Rights Reserved — Presented By Intellectual Property Today

RFC Express provides access to public lawsuit filings retrieved from the U.S. Federal District Courts.

These lawsuits, and associated information should not be considered findings of fact or liability. Nor do they reflect the views of RFC Express or any of its employees.